





Session-V Context Setting Presentation on

"Electricity Trade in BBIN region- Long Term Trading Volumes and Prospects" 30th August 2018 New Delhi

Presentation By:

Dr. Probal Ghosh (Head Modeling Group)

Mr.Vinay Saini (Senior Research Analyst)

Integrated Research and Action for Development (IRADe)







Objective and Approach

- Assessing benefits of technically feasible and economically viable electricity trade
- Bilateral Electricity Trade between
 - India- Nepal
 - India- Bangladesh
- Multilateral Electricity Trade within
 - o **BBIN Region**







Approach

Interlinked Models

 Technology Models (Power System Model)

- Hourly trade over 30 Years for given electricity demand
- Choices of different generation technologies
- Macroeconomic Model
 Generation Technologies affect

 → Investment
 → GDP

 → Electricity Demand

Iteration between both the models were undertaken for technological and macro economical consistent results









Approach & Methodology- Bilateral Studies

Economic Benefits from Nepal-India Electricity Trade (Jan 2017)

Scenarios- BASE, **APT**, DCA

Scenarios Considered

- BASE Scenario assumes no increase in interconnections across countries (2015 level)
- Accelerated Power Trade (APT) Scenario allows full potential of electricity trade.
- Delayed Capacity Addition (DCA) Scenario considers a delay in hydropower project implementation by five years in Nepal

Economic Benefits from Bangladesh-India Electricity Trade (Jan 2018)

Scenarios- REF, PSMP, TRADE30

Scenarios Considered

- REF Scenario electricity trade is restricted to 1.1 GW (upper limit)
- Power System Master Plan (PSMP) Scenario allows electricity mix coal-35%, Gas- 35%, Imports- 16%, Nuclear-12% by 2040 for Bangladesh
- TRADE 30 Scenario assumes only 30% imports bound for Bangladesh







Bilateral Study: Benefits of India-Nepal Trade to Nepal (1/2)

- Power cuts restricts growth. Initially Nepal imports and later exports
- Trade market develops Nepal's hydro potential sooner
- By 2045, with trade, 34.4 GW
 is exploited out of its total
 hydro potential of 43 GW
 compared to only 9 GW with
 out trade
- Even a delay of 5 years in Trade reduces the hydro potential exploited to 31 GW by 2045



- Without trade, Nepal needs to rely more on storage plants to meet the seasonal variability
- With trade, the bulk of the capacities is from ROR plants which are cheaper and have less environmental consequences







Bilateral Study: Benefits of India-Nepal Trade to Nepal (2/2)

- With trade Nepal increases its GDP by 40% and per capita household consumption by 23% by 2045
- Even a 5 year delay in trade will result in GDP gain only by 14% and per capita consumption by 13%
- In addition, with trade share of industry sector in GDP increases to 30% compared to 21% in BASE
- In absolute terms, with 40 per cent higher GDP, industrial GDP will be twice as large as without trade



Structure of Nepal's Economy in BASE and APT scenarios







15^{*} Integrated Research and RADe Action for Development

Benefits of Bangladesh-India Trade to Bangladesh (1/2)

- Bangladesh Short of energy resources
- PSMP restricts electricity imports to 15% and fixes share of fuels in generation
- TRADE30 limits imports to 30% and keeps generation mix free
- Electricity import from India is the cheapest option including generation from coal
- Capacity requirements reduce by 17% in PSMP and 42% in TRADE30 in 2045





- TRADE30 lowers power system cost and lower fuel bill to the economy
- PSMP increases the reliance on Gas imports which has a more volatile market
- How much reliance on foreign exchange is worth diversification of energy supply







Benefits of Bangladesh-India Trade to Bangladesh (2/2)

- Electricity imports requires less investment in capacity and infrastructure
- PSMP results in higher GDP and lower household consumption whereas TRADE 30 results in lower GDP and higher household consumption
- Aggregate household consumption and household consumption of electricity increase in TRADE30 is 5 times more than in PSMP



Cumulated Consumption increase compared to REF

Cumulated emissions over 2012-45 reduces by 9 % in the PSMP scenario and by 6.4 % in the TRADE-30 scenario







Bilateral Study: Benefits of Trade to India (1/2)

IND- NEP Bilateral Study

- With imports from Nepal, generation, capacity creation and investment in the power sector are reduced
- Cumulated Investment cost over 2012-45 in Power sector decreases by 4%
- Imports reduces fossil fuel consumption in general
- CO2 emissions reduce by 572 Million tonnes in 2045
- Electricity supply cost is lower as imported electricity is cheaper than domestically produced one
- Trade with Nepal increases India's cumulated consumption by 1.43% in 2045
- Investment requirement in India's economy decreases by 8.6% due to lower capacity requirement
- Cumulated GDP decreases by 2.6% in 2045 due to future capacity requirement savings and shift of resources from power sector to non power sectors and household consumption



Cumulated Consumption Gains







Bilateral Study: Benefits of Trade to India (2/2)

IND- BNG Bilateral Study

- No capacity increase required up to 2025 and only small 1- 1.5 % additional coal-based capacity by 2030 & 2045
- Increases coal consumption and emissions in India
- The additional investment requirement is less than I percent higher than in the No trade scenario
- Earnings from exports increase India's GDP by 0.28% (636 billion US\$) in TRADE30 and 0.12% (266 billion US\$) in PSMP scenario

 Cumulated consumption gain is 0.42% in TRADE30 and 0.17% in PSMP scenario



Investments increase in India's energy sector and the overall economy due to trade

Benefits to India although not highly visible because of the size of the India's power system and its economy, but are comparable in absolute terms to benefits to Nepal and Bangladesh.







Approach & Methodology- Multilateral Study

Multilateral Trade Scenario (Aug 2018)









Multilateral Study- Key findings for the Region

Opportunities through Multilateral Trade

- Regional trade reaches to 197 BU by 2030 and 359 BU by 2045 from 12 BU (in 2017)
- Both Bhutan and Nepal (hydropower exporter) gain access to Bangladesh's and India's power market (net importers)
- Regional capacity reduces by 2 to 5% i.e. 12 to 36 GW compared to REF
- Power sector CO2 emissions reduce by 4% (70 MT) in 2030 and by 3% (109 MT) in 2045 due to lower fossil fuel consumption

Multilateral Study- Key findings for Bangladesh

• With Multilateral Trade Bangladesh can diversify its power import sources such as Bhutan, India and Nepal







Multilateral Study- Key findings for Nepal

 Nepal's exports to India increases by 18% (21 BU) by 2045 compared to Bilateral Nepal's harness higher hydro potential of 37 GW compared to 34 GW in Bilateral and only 9 GW in REF/BASE









Maximum Hourly

Multilateral Study- Key findings for India (1/2)

 India will be net importer of electricity and has potential to absorb electricity both from Bhutan and Nepal (even after exporting to Bangladesh)









Multilateral Study- Key findings for India (2/2)

• Net Imports for India are higher in the Multilateral trade compared to Bilateral trade



- India can support Multilateral Trade without increasing its own generation
- India's power generation, capacity and capex requirements reduce in Multilateral Trade
- India's CO2 emission reduces due to hydro imports from Bhutan and Nepal and reduced fossil fuel consumption by power sector







Our Publications on Long Term Trading Volumes and Prospects



Thank You